# Simulation of VoIP using NS-2

ENSC 427: Communication In Networking

<u>Group 9:</u> Behdad Jamshidi Victor Mateescu

Page: 1

# Background

 VoIP is being used more and more every year (Rogers and Vonage)

- Capitalizes on the versatility of IP networks:
  - Lower operating costs (common computer equipment)
  - Integrate many web services with VoIP
  - Potentially more bandwidth-efficient due to availability of different codecs

# Why VoIP?

Reason	Percentage
Lower telecommunications costs	66%
Desire to merge voice and data networks	43%
Obtain a platform for one-stop communications in two or more areas	41%
Increase collaboration benefits in two or more areas	36%
Ease of management	31%
Scalability	24%



- Implement VoIP phone call between two users
- Create background traffic to simulate real life situation
- Background traffic increases as time elapses
- Test UDP, TCP, and RTP

## Overview of Related Work

- Jishu Das Gupta, Srecko Howard, and Angela Howard (2006), "Traffic Behaviour of VoIP in a Simulated Access Network," Proceedings of World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology (PWASET), 18, pp. 189-194.
  - Studied two VoIP calls made over a bottleneck link with a Droptail queue
  - Used UDP and TCP with CBR for each respective call
  - Mainly looked at packet loss
- Marc Greis' Tutorial on NS-2

# Quality of Service

- ITU-T Recommendation G.114
- 150ms end-to-end delay or less is recommended
- 400ms maximum acceptable delay for international calls
- Keep packet delay variation (jitter) as low as possible
- Packet losses of about 5% are tolerable (based on distribution)
- In general, large delay is more undesirable than loss of quality

# Implementation

- Simulation done in NS-2 v2.35
- NS-2 trace file filtered with AWK to remove background traffic
- Resulting trace file parsed with MATLAB
- MATLAB script used to calculate and plot throughput, end-to-end delay, packet loss, and jitter.

# **Technical Specifications**

- OC-1 Link (51.84Mbps)
- G.711 Audio Codec (64kbps)
- Nation-wide call (Vancouver to Toronto)
- Background traffic increase as time elapses
  - 25.89 Mb/s both ways
  - o 25.91 Mb/s both ways
  - o 25.92 Mb/s both ways

# Initial layout



#### During simulation:



### Throughput - UDP







Page: 12

# Delay - UDP



Page: 13

# Delay - TCP



Page: 14

#### Packet loss - UDP



#### Packet loss - TCP



#### Jitter - UDP



### Jitter - TCP



#### Results

- Much more packets are lost for UDP/RTP
- Very low end-to-end delay and jitter for UDP/RTP
- The large end-to-end delay and jitter of TCP makes
  it unacceptable for VoIP
- Throughput/packet loss of UDP/RTP acceptable for network under minimal load

#### Future work

- Finish the rest of the work for the project and reports
- Future future future work (aka not now)
  Adding SIP

# What did we end up with?

- A pretty awesome project
- A better knowledge of how the three protocols work
- Better understanding of NS2 and its capabilities
- A presentation :D

# References

- [1] D. Collins, "Carrier Grade Voice Over IP," McGraw-Hill, 2002.
- [2] O. Hersent, "IP Telephony: Deploying VoIP Protocols and IMS Infrastructure," West Sussex, UK: Wiley, 2011.
- [3] C. Demichelis and P. Chimento, "IP Packet Delay Variation Metric for IP Performance Metrics (IPPM)," RFC 3393, November 2002: http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc3393.txt (accessed in March 2012).
- [4] A. Leon-Garcia and I. Widjaja, "Communication Networks: Fundamental Concepts and Key Architectures," 2nd edition, McGraw -Hill, 2004.
- [5] Jishu Das Gupta, Srecko Howard, and Angela Howard (2006), "Traffic Behaviour of VoIP in a Simulated Access Network," Proceedings of World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology (PWASET), 18, pp. 189-194,. Available: http://www.waset.org/journals/waset/v24/v24-15.pdf (accessed in March 2012)

Any Questions?