

ENSC 427: Communications Networks Spring 2015

Video Streaming over Wi-Fi

www.sfu.ca/~jwk10

Group 2 :

Jay Kim – 301149676 – jwk10@sfu.ca Jack Zheng – 301148888 – jza96@sfu.ca Paniz Bertsch – 301185968 – pseifpou@sfu.ca

Overview

Introduction

Objective
Introduction on Wi-Fi
Video Streaming Protocols

•Implementation

TopologyApplication

oSimulation & Analysis

Increasing Load and Data Rate
Comparison of 802.11a/g/n
Effect of Distance

oDiscussion/Conclusion

Introduction

- Objective
- Introduction on Wi-Fi
- Video Streaming Protocols

Introduction

Objective

- To analyse the video streaming performance in a typical home Wi-Fi network with various scenarios
- In terms of delay, throughput, jitter and packet received

Introduction on Wi-Fi

- WLAN, IEEE 802.11, WPA/WPA2
- 802.11 a/b/g/n/ac (802.11g most popular)
- 2.4 & 5 GHz
- Higher power consumption
- Data rate up to 54 Mbps for 802.11 a/g
- 802.11n (MIMO), data rate up to 600 Mbps
- Range 20 meters (66 feet) indoors

Introduction

Video Streaming Protocols

- Highest BW and Bit Rate
- 100 kbps for low quality, over 3 Mbps for HD
- Streaming stored/live video, Video over IP
- Video Compression and Quality
- Delay Sensitive & Loss Tolerance for Conference
- Streaming Live : Delay Tolerance of 10 sec
- HTTP & UDP, DASH, RTP …
- Client Buffering

Implementation

- Topology
- * Application

Implementation

Topology

- One WLAN-Ethernet Router, One Ethernet Server, 100BaseT Link
- Users: mobile WLAN Workstations
- Application: VOIP, Browsing, Video (News, Star Wars, LOTR)
- User of Interest: News Client



Implementation

Application

- Video traces e.g. News broadcast at 30 FPS
- Default VoIP and browsing applications



3. Variation in delay

Case 1: Increasing Load and Data Rate
 Case 2: Comparison of 802.11a/g/n
 Case 3: Effect of Distance

• Case 1:

News User and added clients (Light/Heavy Browsing, VoIP, LOTR) with 802.11g at 18 Mbps



- Case 1: 802.11g, Increase Data Rate (18-54 Mbps)
- Increasing data rate lowers end-to-end delay and improves throughput
- Recommend at least 48 Mbps based on results



Case 2 :

- Comparison of a, g & n standards
- n: 39 & 58.5 Mbps with 5 GHz band, g/a: 54 Mbps
- 802.11n outperforms others



Case 3 :

Effect of Distance: News User Moving along the path below



• Case 3 :

- Trade off between high frequency & range
- Trade off between data rate & range
- Shortest range with 802.11n (58.5 Mbps, 5 GHz), longest range with 802.11g (54 Mbps, 2.4 GHz)



Discussion/Conclusion

Difficulties

- > Unfamiliar with Modeler's video conferencing, browsing, VoIP applications
- Decisions on topology, scenarios, and test cases

Future Work

- > 802.11ac and compare to 802.11n
- WiFi's competitors
 - HiperLAN (European 802.11)
 - Ethernet
 - ≻ WiMAX
- Add more throughput intensive applications

Things learned

- High throughput applications have the most effect on a network
- > Typical characteristics of video: high bit rate and throughput, sensitive to delay
- Higher rate of transmission increases throughput and decreases delay
- >802.11n tends to perform better than 802.11a/g in terms of delay
- Standards using 2.4 GHz band have longer range
- Trade-off between high data rate vs. shorter range

Thank You!

Questions?



References

- [1] J. Kurose and K. W. Ross, "Computer Networking A Top-Down Approch", 6th ed. PEARSON, 2012
- [2] "IEEE 802.11: Wireless LAN Medium Access Control (MAC) and Physical Layer (PHY) Specifications". (2012 revision). IEEE-SA. 5 April 2012
- [3] Fleishman, Glenn (December 7, 2009). "The future of WiFi: gigabit speeds and beyond". Ars Technica. Retrieved 2009-12-13
- [4] Tutorial-Reports, "Wireless LAN (Wifi) Tutorial | Tutorial-Reports.com," 18 February 2013. [Online]. Available: http://www.tutorial-reports.com/wireless/wlanwifi/index.php. [Accessed 9 April 2015].
- [5] National Instruments, "WLAN 802.11 a,b,g and n National Instruments," 3 December 2013. [Online]. Available: http://www.ni.com/tutorial/7131/en/. [Accessed 9 April 2015].
- [6] L. Trajkovic, "TRAFFIC TRACES," 28 January 2015. [Online]. Available: http://www2.ensc.sfu.ca/~ljilja/TRAFFIC/traffic_traces.html. [Accessed 1 April 2015].
- [7] Arizona State University, "MPEG-4 Part 2 Trace Files and Statistics," [Online]. Available: http://trace.eas.asu.edu/mpeg4/index.html. [Accessed 1 April 2015].
- [8] S. Calzada, C. Rietchel and T. Szajner, "Performance Analysis of a Wireless Home Network," April 2014. [Online]. Available: http://www2.ensc.sfu.ca/~ljilja/ENSC427/Spring14/Projects/team4/ENSC427_team4_repor t.pdf. [Accessed 5 April 2015].
- [9] W. Hrudey and L. Trajkovic, "Communications Network Labratory projects," [Online]. Available: http://www2.ensc.sfu.ca/~ljilja/papers/hrudey_trajkovic_opnetwork2008_final_revised_aga in.pdf. [Accessed 5 April 2015].
- [10] D. Ferro and B. Rink, "Understanding Technology Options for Deploying Wi-Fi," Colorado.