# Security and privacy in public WLAN networks

Savio Lau saviol@cs.sfu.ca March 01, 2005





# Roadmap

- Introduction of public WLAN networks
- Network security
- User privacy
- Experiments and analysis
- Conclusion





- Refers to pay and non-pay networks that allows public to access limited services such as the Internet:
  - wireless access from coffee shops, Internet cafes
  - cellular companies operated networks: FatPort, T-mobile
  - campus networks: SFU, UBC



#### Layout of public WLAN networks





### Layout of public WLAN networks

- 802.11a/b/g air link:
  - user WLAN devices
  - access provider WLAN routers
- Access provider network:
  - firewall
  - intrusion detection system
  - authentication services
- Internet

Difference between switched and wireless networks



- Switched networks prevents data snooping through neighboring ports:
  - redirection attacks through ARP cache poisoning and other means is possible, but easily detectable
- WLAN is by design a broadcast network:
  - signals can be received by multiple hosts within an area



# Roadmap

- Introduction of public WLAN networks
- Network security
- User privacy
- Experiments and analysis
- Conclusion





- Access providers establish network security for the following reasons:
  - metered access to services and accounting
  - protection of their own network from malicious attacks
  - prevention of viruses and worms from infecting their own network
  - prevention of unauthorized access to non-public services





- Network providers achieve network security through the following methods:
  - authentication for granting access
  - firewalls for limiting access to non-public services
  - rule-based monitoring of traffic for attacks, viruses, and worms
  - automatic preventive actions if malicious traffic is suspected





- Employs a Vernier Networks' product for access control:
  - endpoint screening
  - network access restriction
  - traffic inspection
  - remediation policy enforcement



# Roadmap

- Introduction of public WLAN networks
- Network security
- User privacy
- Experiments and analysis
- Conclusion





- User privacy includes:
  - controlled access to users' assets and data
  - safety of user traffic from eavesdropping
  - safety from malicious attacks
  - safety from viruses and worms





- Access control can be achieved through the use of password-based sharing and firewalls
- Safety from attacks, viruses, and worms can be achieved through up-to-date anti-virus products and firewalls



#### Network security vs. user privacy

- Goals of network operators and users are not necessary identical
- Networks that are secure from providers' perspective may not guard users' privacy
- Network providers' task is to prevent malicious traffic from entering network
- How secure is network traffic over WLAN interfaces?



# Roadmap

- Introduction of public WLAN networks
- Network security
- User privacy
- Experiments and analysis
- Conclusion





- Experiment was performed on SFU's campus network
- Two laptops and a WLAN-enabled PDA were used
- One laptop was set to monitor/promiscuous mode to capture traffic from the PDA and the second laptop:
  - Ethereal under Linux was used to capture traffic
  - only traffic from the two laptops and the PDA were captured for privacy reasons





- The PDA and the second laptop attempt to access the following services:
  - Yahoo and Excite email services with newly created accounts
  - ICQ internet messaging
  - POP3 email retrieval
  - SMTP email transfer

#### Ethereal captures from PDA: Yahoo mail



[] Bite	r: (ip.addr.eq	142.58.65.202 and ip.addr	eq 66.218.75.184) and (top.por	eq 14 💌 🕂 Expression 🗞 Clear 🗸 Apply	
No. +	Time	Source	Destination ?ro	ocol Info	1
157	75.92842	142.58.65.202	66.218.75.184 TC	P 14309 > uuw [SYN] Seq=0 Ack=0 Win=16384 Len=0 MSS=1400	
158	76.01487	142.58.65.202	66.218.75.184 HI	′ 14309 > www [HCK] Seq=1 HCK=0 Win=16384 Len=0 TP_PDST_/config/login_verifu229g733e3nghsok_HTTP/1.1	
160	76.03604	142.58.65.202	66.218.75.184 HT	IP POST /config/login_verify2?9g733e3pghsok HTTP/1.1	
161	76.21702	142.58.65.202	66.218.75.184 HT	IP Continuation or non-HITP traffic	
162	76-32368	142.58.65.202	66.218.75.184 IC	2 14309 > www [HCK] Seq=993 Hck=1400 Win=16304 Len=0 14309 > www [ACK] Seq=993 Ack=2800 Uin=16384 Len=0	
164	76.32948	142.58.65.202	66.218.75.184 TC	P 14309 > uuw [ACK] Seg=993 Ack=4200 Win=16384 Len=0	
165	76.42447	142.58.65.202	66.218.75.184 TC	P 14309 > www [ACK] Seq=993 Ack=5600 Win=16384 Len=0	
166	76.42773	142.58.65.202	66.218.75.184 IC	P 14309 > uuw [ACK] Seq=993 Ack=7000 Win=16384 Len=0	
167	76.43579	142.58.65.202	66.218.75.184 IC	P 14309 > WW [HCK] Seq=993 HCK=0400 WIN=16384 Len=0 14309 > WW [ACK] Seq=993 Ack=9800 Win=16384 Len=0	
169	76.43916	142.58.65.202	66.218.75.184 TC	P 14309 > www [ACK] Seg=993 Ack=11200 Win=16384 Len=0	
170	76.44112	142.58.65.202	66.218.75.184 TC	P 14309 > www [ACK] Seq=993 Ack=12600 Win=16384 Len=0	
171	76.52852	142.58.65.202	66.218.75.184 IC	2 14309 > WWW [ACK] Seq=993 Ack=14000 Win=16384 Len=0	
173	76.53613	142.58.65.202	66.218.75.184 TC	P 14309 > uuu [ACK] Seg=993 Ack=16800 Uin=16384 Len=0	
174	76.53949	142.58.65.202	66.218.75.184 TC	P 14309 > uuu [ACK] Seq=993 Ack=18200 Uin=16384 Len=0	Ŧ
🗵 Fra	ne 161 (2	43 butes on wire	, 243 butes capture		-
A	rrival Ti	me: Feb 24, 2005	16:15:25.784972000		
T	ime delta	from previous p	acket: 0.180975000 :	econds	
		nafananaa an fi	nat Cosant 76 91709	000 seconds	
0010	00 05 5d	5e 89 dc a0 09	aa aa 03 00 00 00 0	8 00]^	
0020	45 00 00	d3 0b 5a 00 00	ff 06 51 34 8e 3a 4	1 ca EZQ4.:A.	
0040	42 0a 40	00 6e d1 00 00	2e 74 72 69 65 73 3	d 26 P.@.ntries=a	
0050	2e 64 6F	6e 65 3d 68 74	74 70 25 33 41 25 3	2 46 .done=ht tp%3A%2F	
0060	25 32 46	6C 6F 67 69 68	2e 79 61 68 6f 6f 2 66 69 67 25 32 46 6	e 53 X2Flogin -yahoo.c d 51 om/2Econ fig/2Ema	
0080	69 6c 25	33 46 2e 69 6e	74 6c 25 33 44 63 6	1 25 il%3F.in tl%3Dca%	
0090	32 36 2e	6c 67 25 33 44	63 61 26 2e 73 72 6	3 3d 26.1g%3D cas.src=	
0060	61 70 26	2e 70 61 72 74	69 66 572 3d 26 2e 6	9 6e aps.part ner=s.in	
00c0	74 6c 3d	63 61 26 2e 66	55 70 64 61 74 65 3	d 26 tl=cas.f Update=s	
0000	70 61 73	73 77 64 3d 76 6c 65 26 4c 66	65 72 79 76 75 6C 6 67 69 6e 3d 53 69 6	e 55 passud=v eryvulne 7 6e rableslo gipuSign	
00F0	2b 69 6e	00 00 20 10 01	01 05 06 30 35 05 0	NO NO NO BUILDING	
					-

### Ethereal captures from PDA: Yahoo mail



POST /config/login\_verify2?9g733e3pghsok HTTP/1.1

Host: login.yahoo.com

•••

User-Agent: Mozilla/4.08 (PDA; PalmOS/sony/model luke/Revision:2.0.22 (en)) NetFront/3.1

Referer:

http://login.yahoo.com/config/exit?&.src=ym&.lg=ca&.intl=ca&.done =http%3a%2f%2flogin.yahoo.com%2fconfig%2fmail%3f.intl%3dca %26.lg%3dca

•••

.tries=&.done=http%3A%2F%2Flogin.yahoo.com%2Fconfig%2Fmail%3 F.intl%3Dca%26.lg%3Dca&.src=ym&.slogin=wlangap&.partner=&.in tl=ca&.fUpdate=&passwd=veryvulnerable&Login=Sign+in

#### Ethereal captures from 2<sup>nd</sup> laptop: NetBIOS (NBNS)



Etter: nbns			▼ ⊕ Expression Ski⊆lear V Apply				
No. + Time Sou	rce Des	tination Protocol	info				
8 0.120849 142	2.58.65.101 142	2.58.65.255 NBNS					
39 0.837676 14	2.58.65.101 142	2.58.65.255 NBNS					
43 0.910121 142	2.58.65.101 142 2.168.0.99 192	2.58.65.255 NBNS   2.168.0.255 NBNS					
82 1.422116 19	2.168.0.99 192	2.168.0.255 NBNS					
89 1.626933 142	2.58.65.101 142	2.58.65.255 NBNS					
91 1.627716 192	2.168.0.99 192	2.168.0.255 NBNS					
93 1.628799 142	2.58.65.122 142	2.58.65.255 NBNS					
95 1.656936 142	2.58.65.101 142 2.168.0.99 192	2.58.65.255 NBNS					
99 1.658779 142	2.58.65.122 142	2.58.65.255 NBNS					
100 1.659325 142	2.58.65.122 142 2.168.0.99 192	2.58.65.255 NBNS 2.168.0.255 NBNS					
109 1.964107 192	2.168.0.99 192	2.168.0.255 NBNS					
117 2,552005 14	2,50,05,101 142	2+30+03+233 NDN3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Type: Data (	frame (2)						
⊽ Flags: 0x1							
DS status: Frame is entering DS (To DS: 1 From DS: 0) (0x01)							
0	0 = More Fragments: This is the last fragment 0 = Retry: Frame is not being retransmitted						
0	0 = PUR MGT: STA will stay up						
0	= More Data: No = UEP flag; UEP	data buffered					
0	= Order flag: No	t strictly ordered					
Duration: 213							
B222 Id: 00:e0	1631821731dc (Cab	1etro_82:/3:dc)					
0000 08 01 d5 00 0010 ff ff ff ff ff	00 e0 63 82 73 0 ff ff 50 02 aa	ac 00 09 56 23 55 4e aa 03 00 00 00 08 00	eP				
0020 45 00 00 60 0030 8e 3a 41 FF	00 06 00 00 80	11 9a ae 8e 3a 41 65 4c 95 3b 80 01 29 10	i E`				
	00 00 00 01 20	45 49 45 50 45 4e 45	EIEPENE				

#### Ethereal captures from 2<sup>nd</sup> laptop: ICQ

Eter	Fitter: Isim ▼ I Expression So Clear S Apply							
No. +	Time Source	Destination	Protocol Info					
205 218 304 1027 2497 7753 7805 7806 7807 7810 9879 9893 9909 14810 14837 15397 15488 12028	6,758151 205.188.8.72 7.017184 205.188.8.72 8.319907 205.188.8.72 10.60934 205.188.8.72 15.26592 205.188.8.72 25.96129 205.188.8.72 26.38722 142.58.65.101 26.39026 142.58.65.101 26.39676 142.58.65.101 32.51123 142.58.65.101 32.58397 142.58.65.101 32.66820 205.188.8.72 49.54071 205.188.8.72 49.54071 205.188.8.72 68.61882 205.188.8.72 68.61882 205.188.8.72 68.61882 205.188.8.72 68.61882 205.188.8.72 68.61882 205.188.8.72 68.61882 205.188.8.72 68.61882 205.188.8.72 68.61882 205.188.8.72	142.58.65.101 142.58.65.101 142.58.65.101 142.58.65.101 142.58.65.101 142.58.65.101 205.188.8.72 205.188.8.72 205.188.8.72 205.188.8.72 205.188.8.72 205.188.8.72 205.188.8.72 142.58.65.101 142.58.65.101 142.58.65.101 142.58.65.101	AlH H AlH Messaging, Incoming AlH H AlH Messaging, Hini Typing Notifications (HTN) AlH H [TCP Retransmission] AlH Messaging, Hini Typing Notifications (HTN) AlH H [TCP Retransmission] AlH Messaging, Hini Typing Notifications (HTN) AlH H [TCP Retransmission] AlH Messaging, Hini Typing Notifications (HTN) AlH H [TCP Retransmission] AlH Messaging, Hini Typing Notifications (HTN) AlH H AlH Messaging, Hini Typing Notifications (HTN) AlH H AlH Messaging, Outgoing to: AlH H AlH Messaging, Acknowledge AlH B Oncoming Buddy: 1 AlH H AlH Messaging, Incoming Buddy: AlH H AlH Messaging, Incoming Buddy: AlH H AlH Messaging, Hini Typing Notifications (HTN) AlH H AlH Messaging, Hini Typing Notifications (HTN) AlH B [TCP Out-Of-Order] Oncoming Buddy: AlH H AlH Messaging, Hini Typing Notifications (HTN) AlH H AlH Messaging, Notifications (HTN)					
<pre>17079 75.33175 205.188.8.72 142.58.65.101 AIM B Oncoming Buddy: Features: 0x0501 Features: 0x0501 Features: 0106 Block info: 0x0101 Block length: 63 Block Character set: 0x0000 Block Character subset: 0x0000 Hessage: he said that, ▼ TLV: Non-direct connect typing notification Value ID: Non-direct connect typing notification (0x000b) Length: 0</pre>								
0000 0 0010 0 0020 4 0030 8 0040 5 0050 7	Value 28 02 d5 00 00 09 5b 23 20 05 5d 5e 89 dc e0 cl 45 00 00 be 5d 44 40 00 3e 3a 41 65 14 46 04 00 50 18 40 00 18 42 00 00 00 02 00 00 18 42 00 00 50 02 00 00 18 42 00 00 50 00 00 18 42 00 00	55 4e 00 e0 63 1 aa aa 03 00 00 67 06 10 52 cd ae bc b8 cd a9 2a 02 2d 61 00 42 27 c5 d5 00	82 73 dc[# UNc.s. 00 08 00]^ bc 08 48 E]D@. gRH 03 8a b4 .:Ae.F 90 00 04 P.@B *a p=					

(enl.)

#### Ethereal captures from 2<sup>nd</sup> laptop: Yahoo mail



#### GET

/config/login?.tries=1&.src=www&.md5=&.hash=&.js=1&.last=&pro mo=&.intl=us&.bypass=&.partner=&.u=1spon6t127e88&.v=0&.chall enge=9gMkEIGtJaAhGmqnTIT\_Rmp2KfNW&.yplus=&.emailCode=&p kg=&stepid=&.ev=&hasMsgr=0&.chkP=Y&.done=http%3A//www.ya hoo.com&login=wlangap&passwd=d161f26c355df6ae13ba0ff8f82d4f 0a&.persistent=&.save=1&.hash=1&.md5=1 HTTP/1.1

Host: login.yahoo.com

The password is protected with an md5 hash

. . .



POST /excitereg/login\_process.jsp HTTP/1.1

```
Host: registration.excite.com
```

Referer: http://registration.excite.com/excitereg/login.jsp

```
snonce=FmX0EuFFsgEH1OEdvSBMAw%3D%3D&stime=4223b948&times
kew=13&crep=OeSHuHThQr9nmg%3D%3D&jerror=none&memberna
me=wlangap&password=xxxxxx&gofer=Sign+In%21&perm=0
HTTP/1.1 302 Found
```

Date: Tue, 01 Mar 2005 00:37:49 GMT

Server: Apache/1.3.29 (Unix) Resin/2.0.5 mod\_ssl/2.8.16 OpenSSL/0.9.7c Password is encrypted: note that it shows the password is 7-letters long

. . .

#### Ethereal captures from 2<sup>nd</sup> laptop: POP3 mail



+OK Opopper (version 4.0.5) at rm-rstar.sfu.ca starting.

X-LOCALTIME Mon, 28 Feb 2005 17:31:05 -0800

IMPLEMENTATION Opppper-version-4.0.5

USER somebody (name replaced)

+OK Password required for somebody.

PASS abcdef (visible password replaced)

+OK somebody has 583 visible messages (0 hidden) in 27739618 octets.

. . .

### Ethereal captures from 2<sup>nd</sup> laptop: SMTP mail



220 rm-rstar.sfu.ca ESMTP Sendmail 8.12.10/8.12.5/SFU-5.0H; Mon, 28 Feb 2005 17:32:16 - 0800 (PST)

MAIL FROM: < somebody@sfu.ca > SIZE=374 (name replaced with somebody)

Message-ID: <4223C632.6050605@sfu.ca> Date: Mon, 28 Feb 2005 17:32:34 -0800 From: Somebody <somebody@sfu.ca> User-Agent: Mozilla Thunderbird 1.0 (Windows/20041206) X-Accept-Language: en-us, en MIME-Version: 1.0 To: somebody@sfu.ca Subject: smtptest Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1; format=flowed Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit testing smtp messages 250 2.0.0 j211WGCk006855 Message accepted for delivery QUIT 221 2.0.0 rm-rstar.sfu.ca closing connection





- User privacy is not preserved because traffic is not encrypted
- Email services such as Yahoo and Excite encrypt passwords but received email contents and sent email messages are in plain text
- Captured user's data and passwords appear as plain text if simple browsers are used:
  - Netfront 3.1 for PalmOS





- Instant Messaging (IM) messages such as MSN or ICQ are captured in plain text
- POP3 and SMTP messages are sent in plain text by default:
  - SSL and TLS options are available but are hidden from view
  - access providers do not always provide encrypted email transfers





- Windows NetBIOS services automatically broadcast workgroup and ID to network:
  - windows shared folders could be accessed by others in the network





- Is WLAN traffic encryption possible?
- Only if access providers choose to provide it:
  - may require newer equipment
  - difficulty in setup results in increased support calls
  - degradation of WLAN performance
  - Not the access provider's problem:
    - "We strongly recommend that our customers be aware of the security concerns of wireless networking and ensure the security of their Internet connections... It is your responsibility to adopt security measures which are best suited to your situation."





- Is WLAN traffic encryption possible?
  - WEP is supported by all 802.11 devices:
    - anyone with the WEP key can decode traffic:
    - WEP usage is not useful in public networks
    - WEP is also vulnerable to cryptography attacks [2]
  - WPA uses temporal keys: not all 802.11 devices support this encryption type

[2] S. Fluhrer, I. Mantin, and A. Shamir, "Weakness in the key scheduling algorithm in RC4," *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, vol. 2259, pp. 1-24, 2001.





- End-to-end encryption protocols prevent data shown in plain text:
  - HTTP or HTTPS with SSL
  - POP3 and SMTP with SSL/TLS
  - encrypted terminal access using SSH
  - VNC using cryptographic APIs
  - virtual private networks (VPN)





- Testing network security requires both providers' and users' consent
- We analyzed Vernier Network's white paper for deployment setup
- Focus of our analysis was to examine if the SFU network is secure



#### "Evil twin" attacks

- "Evil twin" is a rogue access point using identical Service Set Identifier (SSID) as the WLAN provider [3]
- If the provider network such as SFU employs authentication, a redirection server using an identical login page could be used in an attack:
  - poses as the access provider's authentication sequence
  - login page captures the access provider's user logins and other logins and passwords

[3] C. Klaus, "Wireless LAN Security FAQ," Internet Security Systems, Oct 6th, 2002 [Online]. Available: http://www.iss.net/wireless/WLAN\_FAQ.php.



# "Evil twin" attacks

- Aside from security audits, no known detection method for "evil twin" exists
- Users may be able to detect rogue access points after login by examining the IP address given by the access point
- Users cannot detect rogue access points prior to access:
  - security professionals at the RSA security conference in Feb, 2005 had their logins compromised [5]

[5] Press Release "AirDefense Monitors Wireless Airwaves at RSA 2005 Conference," Feb 17th, 2005 [Online]. Available: http://airdefense.net/newsandpress/02\_07\_05.shtm.





- From access provider perspective:
  - "Evil twin" attacks compromise user credentials
  - may compromise network security if other services are provided besides Internet access
  - thanks to monitoring, attackers may be unable to use the network for malicious means or to spread viruses and worms



# Conclusion

- Public WLAN networks may be convenient to use but are insecure from a user's perspective
- Privacy concerns may be partially mitigated by using encrypted protocols
- Future WLAN protocols may provide required level of user privacy



### References

- [1] Vernier Networks, "Network access management: stopping intruders and worms before they get on the network" (white paper) [Online]. Available: http://www.verniernetworks.com/library/pdfs/wp\_stopping\_intruders\_and\_worms.pdf.
- [2] S. Fluhrer, I. Mantin, and A. Shamir, "Weakness in the key scheduling algorithm in RC4," *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, vol. 2259, pp. 1-24, 2001.
- [3] C. Klaus, "Wireless LAN Security FAQ," Internet Security Systems, Oct. 6<sup>th</sup>, 2002 [Online]. Available: http://www.iss.net/wireless/WLAN\_FAQ.php.
- [4] Ethereal [Online]. Available: http://www.ethereal.com.
- [5] AirDefense "AirDefense Monitors Wireless Airwaves at RSA 2005 Conference," (press release), Feb. 17<sup>th</sup>, 2005 [Online]. Available: http://airdefense.net/newsandpress/02\_07\_05.shtm.